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ABSTRACT
Verde Azul project Triple A is a set of activities to recover from the source, recyclable material that will be duly processed and sold to companies for reuse. The problem to solve in this article is to find a set of delivery routes satisfying pick up requirements and giving minimal total cost. In practice, we are minimizing the total distance travelled from the scepter collection to customers. 
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RESUMEN
El proyecto Verde Azul es un conjunto de actividades para recolectar desde la fuente material reciclable que será debidamente procesado y vendido a las empresas para su reutilización. El problema a resolver en este artículo es hallar un conjunto de rutas de entrega que satisfagan los requerimientos de recolección y que generen un costo mínimo. En la práctica, se está minimizando la distancia total recorrida desde el punto de procesamiento a los clientes.

Palabras clave: Vehicle routing y Logistics distribution, VRP.
I. INTRODUCTION

Triple A S.A. ESP has established recycling program Verde Azul, which is to perform a specialized garbage collection, the program lies in giving a financial reward to companies and the residential sector to make a separation of garbage: recyclables and organic material, which can mainly consists of the following materials: cardboard, glass, PET plastics, paper, newsprint and scrap.

The main purpose of the program is Verde Azul recycling increase user participation in the recycling process through incentives such as discounts on the bill for the Triple A services according to the weight of recyclables collected at time $t$.

Such, this type of project or policy is consistent with current trends. Reduction of the primary resource use, pollution prevention, waste management and sustainable products policy became the focus points of modern industrial societies and environmental policy efforts. Among these, waste management is an essential part of any environmental management activities of production enterprises, recycling nowadays are also becoming more and more important in all fields [1].

II. ROUTING (VRP PROBLEM)

The VRP belongs to the class NP-Hard, because the TSP (Travelling Salesman Problem) belongs to this class, and is a particular case of VRP, when there is only one vehicle available, and there are no capacity constraints and total distance traveled [2].

The required computational time and effort to solve this problem increases exponentially with respect to the size of the problem, for example, the number of nodes to be visited by vehicles. For this type of problems is often desirable to obtain approximate solutions, so they can be found fast enough and which are sufficiently good to become useful in making decisions [3].

The problem identified Verde Azul program model corresponds to the family of vehicle routing problems with capacity constraints in the fleet as a central repository and a set of customers with known demands collection and delivery of certain goods homogeneous, they want to find a set of routes to meet the demand of all customers at the lowest cost. All tours start and end in the central repository, which has a fleet of vehicles, heterogeneous in terms of capacity and fixed cost associated with each and the vehicle can not exceed its capacity [4].

A CVRP instance can easily be transformed to a VRPTW instance. This can for example be done by setting all travel and service times to zero and all time windows to [0,0]. If the CVRP contains a route duration constraint then travel times and durations should be set as in the CVRP. All time windows (including the ones at the end terminals) should be set to [0, D] where D is the route duration [5].
III. TRANSPORT OF MATERIALS (PL PROBLEM)

The method of work in the project is as follows:
1. Routing of trucks.
2. Upload and weighing of materials at the point of delivery, destination winery.
3. Upload and weighing of materials in the warehouse, bound for industry.

IV. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROBLEM OF TRANSPORT

1. A set of m points of supply from which a product is shipped. The supply point i caters to the uttermost if units.
2. A set of n demand points to which the product is shipped. The demand point j must receive at least dj units of the product shipped.
3. Each unit produced at point i and sent to the supply demand point j incurs a variable cost cij.

Xij is the number of units shipped from supply point i to demand point j, then the general formulation of a transportation problem is [6]:

\[
\min \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} x_{ij}
\]
Subject to:

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij} \leq s_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \text{ supply constraint}
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{ij} \leq d_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \text{ Demand constraint}
\]

When the total supply and total demand are equal restrictions must be active

Subject to:

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ij} = s_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m) \text{ supply constraint}
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{ij} = d_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \text{ Demand constraint}
\]

**Definition of variables**

Demand: goods received daily volume (mass)

- \(m = 1\) number of collection points
- \(n = \) number of demand points \(n = 96\)
- Residential: 40 customers a day, with two vehicles
- Industrial: 1-5 clients per day, with two vehicles

\(X_{ij}\) = number of units collected demand point to the delivery point \(j\) \(i\)

\(C_{ij}\) = cost of collecting a unit of demand point \(j\) to supply point \(i\)

\(D_j\) = demand at the point of demand \(j\)

\(T_n\) = Timeout on each node or point of collection

**Process data**

Average distance between nodes = 1.44 Km

Average truck speed 50 km/h top speed of the truck 70 km/h

Average distance from the point of collection to each node 4.26 km

Customer costs: 172,640.56 pesos

Transportation costs: 16,573,493 pesos
V. SOLUTION FOR ROUTING PROBLEM

Where 0 is the storage cellar of the “Verde Azul”, and the other nodes correspond to the list presented in the Excel file with addresses each.

For the model presented, we considered the following assumptions:
- All channels work both ways and have no mobility restrictions for trucks.
- The corners of the blocks are separated by a distance of 64 m/block.
- To get from point A to point B, the trucks would travel a maximum of two straight as to connect two points on a Cartesian plane, without making diagonal or shortcuts.
- The service time at each node is constant and not optimize, unlike the transition time from one node to another.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average distance per node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll employees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price for kilometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daily fuel consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total transportation costs months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: By Authors

Picture 2. Verde Azul project collection center
Source: By Authors
The distance obtained by performing the full path of the route that touches every node is not possible to obtain:

![Picture 3. Result of GAMS procedure for PL problem](image)

As it could be seen, the GAMS linear programming model didn’t produce any results due to the high complexity and size that a 96-node routing problem implies for exact methods. The free version of GAMS lacks the capacity to get any solution beyond its regular limits.

This fact supports the thesis that for NP-Hard problems, such as vehicle routing with vehicle limited capacity as is Verde Azul Program’s situation, it might be more appropriate to start off with Heuristic or Metaheuristic procedures, as exact methods like linear programming may result incapable of giving a neighbor to optimal solution in a reasonable computational time, or sometimes it would even fail to give a solution at all, such as the present case.

However thereby varying times (over longer distances or more time spent on trips) must be reasonably low. As mentioned previously, this opens analysis of greatest accommodate other nature for future deliveries may consider more realistic elements, for example, divide the route into two sub-aggregate routes.
each assigned to one of two trucks, which the total distance traveled and the time decreases further. The next most important aspect to be treated for the problem is the ability to free up space in the warehouse to avoid extra accumulations of material, which will be seen in the next section.

VI. TRANSPORT PROBLEM (HEURISTIC METHOD)

The first thing to be done is to identify the type of routing problem that could describe in a better way the Verde Azul project situation, which by a short-timed analysis may be concluded that the program fits into a routing problem with capacity limitations and homogenous fleet (CVRP). Once identified the type of transport problem, it was preceded with the design of the routes the trucks should travel in order to accomplish node demand while minimizing total travelling distance (less distance means less costs). To design this routes, the chosen method was: clustering first, routing next, which is a very effective heuristic for cases like this one [7].

The method consists on basically the development of two phases. In the first one, clients are grouped into clusters that would guarantee an enclosed region among which they could be visited with short times due their close positions, and would also imply that all the nodes in the same cluster would be visited by the same vehicle during the same voyage following a pre-established route that would make part of the final solution. Nodes demands and vehicle capacity are considered during this first stage in order to assure that the vehicle does not have to return to the depot mid-voyage, but can visit all the nodes at once. Then, during the second phase, after each cluster is created, a route is calculated in order to match all the clients in the group to be visited with minimum traveled distance [8].

For this particular case, we employed the Sweeping Heuristic during the first phase, which implies no complicated steps, reason thanks to which it was calculated without the use of specialized softwares nor highly advanced computers. The method consists of three basic steps [9].

1. Locate all Customers Geographically
The project owners provided us with the information of 96 clients with their respective addresses, thanks to which we were able to graph their relative positioning in Barranquilla. We will next show the Excel graph that resulted from this.

On the graph, the blue spots are residential clients, green spots are industrial/commercial clients, and the red spot is the Verde Azul warehouse.

2. Calculate the polar coordinates of all customers where the center is the depot and an arbitrary customer is chosen to be at angle 0
To do this, we first converted absolute Cartesian coordinates to relative Cartesian coordinates, the Depot being the 0,0 and the others would be positioned according to their distance in Carrera and called from
the depot. Then we converted said coordinates into polar coordinates by calculating: \( r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \) and \( \phi = \arctan \left( \frac{y}{x} \right) \). The next table shows this calculations, \( r \) on Excel column "I" and phi on Excel column "L".

The table is not complete due to the fact that there were 96 nodes, so it would occupy a significant space of several pages. A portion of it is shown as an example.

3. Draw a line from the depot, starting from the un-routed customer with smallest angle and begin a sweep until the first vehicle’s capacity is met.

In the previously shown table, this step was already done. Basically, we arranged the Angle Cells (Col-
umn “L”) in increasing order, and amplified the selection to all the corresponding cells, and we started assigning the vehicles to the routes. Column “M”: “Camion” indicates the remaining capacity of a certain truck after supplying the demand of the node it was just assigned to (Note that in this case, demands means units (or Kilograms) to be picked up, since it is an inverse logistics program). Considering the two vehicles the program has have a total capacity of 5 Ton (5.000 Kg), the remaining capacity was subtracted with the amount of weigh the truck picked up at the visited node (Demand is shown on column B “Promedio”). When the truck’s capacity was met, the truck was sent back to the depot to free space, and the other truck was assigned to continue the route. In the normal version of this method, every time a vehicle’s capacity is met, a new vehicle is created to generate a whole new route. In this case, we have that there is a set number of trucks equal to two, so when a truck met its capacity, the second truck came in, and when the second truck met its capacity, the first truck came in again, in a second route, and so on. The number of trucks remained constant, but the number of routes realized by each truck increased. A new graph with color-differentiated clusters was made, as seen next:

![Graph 3. Node positions](image)

The second phase of the Heuristic was developed with genetic algorithms taking as a base a Matlab Demo designed to solve the Travelling Salesman Problem. We adapted the mentioned Demo to our problem, by following these steps [10]:

a. Load the the file usborder.mat, which is a map sketch in which the clients’ coordinates will be inserted.
   i. load(‘usborder.mat’,’x’,’y’,’xx’,’yy’);
   ii. plot(x,y,’Color’,’red’); hold on;

b. The customers’ coordinates are introduced:

```matlab
>> cities = 5;
>> locations = zeros(cities,2);
```
>> n = 1;
>> while (n <= cities)
switch n
    case 1
        xp=0.1;
        yp=1.1;
        locations (n,1)=xp;
        locations (n,2)=yp;
        n=n+1;
    end
end
>> plot(locations(:,1),locations(:,2),'bo');
c. Now it is calculated the distance matrix between all customers called cities.
   distances = zeros(cities);
   for count1=1:cities,
       for count2=1:count1,
           x1 = locations(count1,1);
           y1 = locations(count1,2);
           x2 = locations(count2,1);
           y2 = locations(count2,2);
           distances(count1,count2)=sqrt((x1-x2)^2+(y1-y2)^2);
           distances(count2,count1)=distances(count1,count2);
       end;
   end;
d. Three necessary functions are created and registered. An individual in a population is an arranged set, so, the population may easily be represented by a set of cells.
   i. The function Custom Creation: It will create a set of cells, say P, where each element represents an arranged set of the costumers as a permutation vector. Which means, de vendor will displace himself in the specific order P.
      >> type create_permutations.m
   ii. The Crossover Function: It takes a series of cells from the population and returns a set of sibling cells that result from it.
      >> type crossover_permutation.m
   iii. The custom Mutation Function: It takes an individual, which is an arranged cell of customers and returns a mutated established order.
      >> type mutate_permutation.m

e. A fitness function is created. This is the total distance traveled for an arranged set of clients. This function needs the distance matrix to calculate total distance.
   >> type traveling_salesman_fitness.m
f. A function to trace the customers’ routes is created:
   >> distances defined earlier
   FitnessFcn = @(x) traveling_salesman_fitness(x,distances);
   >> type traveling_salesman_plot.m
   >> locations defined earlier
   my_plot = @(options,state,flag) traveling_salesman_plot(options, ...
   state,flag,locations);

   g. The Genetic Algorithm configurations are established. Then an options structure is created to indicate a personalized data type and the population range.
   >> options = gaoptimset('PopulationType', 'custom', 'PopInitRange', ...
   [1;cities]);

   h. The created functions are graphed, and also some stop conditions are setup:
   >> options = gaoptimset(options,'CreationFcn',@create_permutations, ...
   'CrossoverFcn',@crossover_permutation, ...
   'MutationFcn',@mutate_permutation, ...
   'PlotFcn', my_plot, ...
   'Generations',500,'PopulationSize',60, ...
   'StallGenLimit',200,'Vectorized','on');

   i. The Genetic Algorithm is ran and if desired, a new iteration can be done by repeating the code.
   >> numberOfVariables = cities;
   [x,fval,reason,output] = ga(FitnessFcn,numberOfVariables,options)

Next, we will share the obtained results when the developed genetic algorithms were ran. Note, the Demo brought a U.S.A. map sketch that we did not find out how to remove, yet, the problem we're here working takes place at Barranquilla, Colombia. The nodes have obviously no relationships in scare nor position with the background sketch.

First: The route corresponding to Truck 1 – Route 1. The following two images show the obtained route by the genetic algorithm metaheuristic, which was the best found route (found after iteration number 10) out of 30 iterations that were ran for this case.

The total distance for this route was:
   fval = 21.232 Km

Next, we calculated the route for Truck 2 - Route 1. This time, out of 30 iterations done, the best route was found at the 7th iteration.
Figure 1. Obtained Route for Truck 1 - Cluster 1. Image 1

Source: By Authors

Figure 2. Amplified image for Obtained Route of Truck 1 – Route 1

Source: By Authors
Figure 3. Route Obtained from the Genetic Algorithm for the Cluster of Truck 2 - Route 1
Source: By Authors

Figure 4. Zoom of Route Obtained from the Genetic Algorithm for the Cluster of Truck 2 - Route 1
Source: By Authors
The distance for the complete path was:
\[ f_{\text{val}} = 19.397 \text{ Km} \]
Next, will be shown the results for the route calculated for Truck 1, Route 2. 30 Iterations were ran, and this one shown was the one found at the 28th iteration.
Figure 7. Amplified Image of Truck 1, Route 2

Figure 8. More clear view of the route generated for Truck 1 on its second travel

Source: By Authors
Total traveled distance:
fval = 17.266 Km.

Finally, we have the Cluster for Truck 2’s second Route.

As it may be seen, the only nodes remaining to be visited after the first 3 routes, were the only 4 that are seen on the picture. This was due to the fact that the Clustering Procedure was followed strictly without modifications, but seeing how much capacity was left on Truck 2 by the second route, it may be inferred that certain post-processing adjustments may be tried in a search for even better solutions. Let’s remember that Metaheuristics are good at finding good solutions at reasonable computational times even for big problems, but they don’t necessarily find the optimum, so there’s always a chance they can be fatherly improved.

The traveled distance was:
fval = 8.273 Km

So taking into account the 4 routes, travelled by the two available trucks, would mean that all nodes would be visited with a total distance of:
Total distance = (21.232 + 19.397 + 17.266 + 8.273) Km = 66.168 Km

VII. CONCLUSIONS

- This kind of NP-Hard problems, such as vehicle routing with vehicle limited capacity, it might be
more appropriate to start off with Heuristic or Metaheuristic procedures for the complex of the problem and the time for wait a result.

- Sadly, this result may not be compared to the one given by GAMS’ Linear Programming Model, due to the size and complexity of the problem, which didn’t allow GAMS to give a result precisely because the model exceeded the available version at Universidad del Norte, Barranquilla.

- Metaheuristics are excellent for finding fine solutions at reasonable computational times even for big problems, but they do not necessarily find the optimum, so there is always a chance they can be furtherly improved.

- The total distance was 66.168 Km. Using an adaptation of the Matlab Software with the sales man route problem, it will be useful for the Verde Azul program because they does not have any rout planning.
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